1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Delonzo Gallon, 12

13 Plaintiff,

vs.

D. L. Runnels, et al.,

16

15

14

Defendants.

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

United States District Court Eastern District of California

Findings and Recommendations

No. Civ. S 04-2422 MCE PAN P

-000-

June 3, 2005, the court dismissed plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief could be granted, explained the deficiencies and allowed plaintiff 45 days to file an amended complaint correcting them. The 45-day period now has expired and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.

Accordingly, I hereby recommend that this action be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A; 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), these

## Case 2:04-cv-02422-MCE-PAN Document 5 Filed 08/31/05 Page 2 of 2

findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States

District Judge assigned to this case. Written objections may be

filed within 20 days of service of these findings and

recommendations. The document should be captioned "Objections to

Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The district

judge may accept, reject, or modify these findings and

recommendations in whole or in part.

Dated: August 30, 2005.

/s/ Peter A. Nowinski PETER A. NOWINSKI

Magistrate Judge

\_ \_